#### Life-Cycle Cost Analysis of Pavement Preservation Techniques in Texas Presented at the 96th Annual Meeting the Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, January 2017.

Wilfrido Martínez-Alonso – wilfrido.martinez@utexas.edu Natalia Zuñiga-García – nzuniga@utexas.edu Andre Smit, Ph.D. – asmit@utexas.edu Jorge A. Prozzi, Ph.D. – prozzi@utexas.edu

Cite as:

Zuniga-Garcia, N., W. Martinez-Alonso, A. de Fortier Smit, F. Hong, and J.A. Prozzi. (2018). Economic Analysis of Pavement Preservation Techniques. Transportation Research Record, 2672(12), 10–19. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198118768515.



#### **Presentation Outline**

- Introduction
- Case Study
- Life-Cycle Cost Analysis
- Conclusions



#### Introduction

#### Objective

- Develop a probabilistic life-cycle cost analysis framework to evaluate and compare pavement maintenance treatments
- Study the effect of facility type, as well as traffic volume and loads

#### Justification

- Timely maintenance
- Hardly any data
- Methodology to quantify the benefits

#### Assumptions

- Same treatment
- Pavement condition

## Introduction

#### **Pavement Preservation**

- 1. Routine maintenance
- 2. Preventive maintenance
- 3. Minor rehabilitation

#### **Preventive Maintenance**

- Chip Seal
- Microsurfacing
- Thin Overlay





# Chip Seal

- Improve surface friction
- Reduce permeability
- Seal small cracks
- Used as a wearing course







## Microsurfacing

- Improve surface friction
- Reduce permeability
- Correct surface irregularities
- Prevent raveling







# Thin Overlays

Less than 2 in. of hot mix asphalt (HMA).

- Improve surface friction
- Reduce permeability
- Correct surface irregularities







### Case Study: Database

- Design and Construction Information System (DCIS)
- 14,372 PM treatment projects from 1994 to 2015
- PM treatments: chip seal, microsurfacing and thin overlays
- Censored and uncensored data

Traffic Information of Projects

 Pavement Management Information Systems (PMIS) Database



# Case Study: Effective Life

Effective life: life between two consecutive treatments applications.



## Case Study: Cost





## Life-Cycle Cost Analysis

- Consecutive application of PM treatment
- Probabilistic approach: net present value





### Life-Cycle Cost Analysis





#### **Cost Probabilities**

Probability that	is more cost- effective than	(%)
Chip Seal	Microsurfacing	70
Chip Seal	Thin Overlay	85
Microsurfacing	Thin Overlay	75



## Life-Cycle Cost Analysis

#### • Facility type:

Interstate Highways (IH) US Highways (US) State Highways (SH) Farm to Market Highways (FM)

- Traffic volume: Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)
- Traffic load: Equivalent Single Axle Load (ESAL)



## Effect of Facility Type





#### Effect of Traffic Volume





#### Effect of Traffic Loads





#### Conclusions

- Based on actual data
- Chip Seal emerges as most cost-effective PM treatment
- Microsurfacing for higher traffic volumes
- Thin overlay use evaluated in a case-by-case basis
- Include other variables such as climate, district practices, materials type and pavement condition



#### Thank you!

#### Any questions?

#### wilfrido.martinez@utexas.edu